



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

09/018,783

2/4/98

RITZ DASH

| EXAMINER |
|----------|
|----------|

D. COLLINS

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2823

24

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) DEVEN COLLINS (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) EDWARD HOTCHKISS (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Tu/10/9/01 12 NOON

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: CASE WAS ALLOWED, HOWEVER,  
ATTORNEY HOTCHKISS FILED AN RCE FOR CASE. ISSUE FEE NOT PAID AS RESULT.  
W/IDS

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: ALLOWED CLAIMS; AMENDMENTS TO ALLOWED CLAIMS; & NEW CLAIMS 32-95

Identification of prior art discussed: PRIOR ART; NEW ART FROM IDS WITH RESPECT TO NON-PATENT

LITERATURE CONCERNING FILM CHANGES AFFECTING COPPER DEPOSITION  
PRIOR ART: "MOK"-MISBUILTIN 8/94; "STOYCHY"-PATENT SURINER 8/97; "COOK" SEC. AMENDMENT OF COPPER 12/43  
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

CAREFUL RECONSIDERATION OF APPLICANT'S INVENTION UPON RECEIPT OF THE  
RCE IN ORDER TO DO AN UPDATED SEARCH ON THE AMENDED (IPM)  
AND A NEW SEARCH ON THE NEWLY ENTERED CLAIMS CONCERNING  
COPPER QUALITY.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

*Deven Collins*